RESEARCH

BMC Genomic Data

Open Access

Differential lung gene expression changes in C57BL/6 and DBA/2 mice carrying an identical functional *Mx1* gene reveals crucial differences in the host response

Silke Bergmann¹, Linda Brunotte² and Klaus Schughart^{1,2*}

Abstract

Background Influenza virus infections represent a major global health problem. The dynamin-like GTPase MX1 is an interferon-dependent antiviral host protein that confers resistance to influenza virus infections. Infection models in mice are an important experimental system to understand the host response and susceptibility to developing severe disease following influenza infections. However, almost all laboratory mouse strains carry a non-functional *Mx1* gene whereas humans have a functional *MX1* gene. Most studies in mice have been performed with strains carrying a non-functional *Mx1* gene. It is therefore very important to investigate the host response in mouse strains with a functional *Mx1* gene.

Results Here, we analyzed the host response to influenza virus infections in two congenic mouse strains carrying the functional Mx1 gene from the A2G strain. B6.A2G- $Mx1^{r/r}$ (B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$) mice are highly resistant to influenza A virus (IAV) H1N1 infections. On the other hand, D2(B6).A2G- $Mx1^{r/r}$ (D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$) mice, although carrying a functional Mx1 gene, were highly susceptible, exhibited rapid weight loss, and died. We performed gene expression analysis using RNAseq from infected lungs at days 3 and 5 post-infection (p.i.) of both mouse strains to identify genes and pathways that were differentially expressed between the two mouse strains. The susceptible D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice showed a high viral replication already at day 3 p.i. and exhibited a much higher number of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and many DEGs had elevated expression levels compared to B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice. On the other hand, some DEGs were specifically up-regulated only in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice at day 3 p.i., many of which were related to host immune response functions.

Conclusions From these results, we conclude that at early times of infection, $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ mice showed a very high and rapid replication of the virus, which resulted in lung damage and a hyperinflammatory response leading to death. We hypothesize that the activation of certain immune response genes was missing and that others, especially Mx1, were expressed at a time in $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ mice when the virus had already massively spread in the lung and were thus not able anymore to protect them from severe disease. Our study represents an important addition to previously published studies in mouse models and contributes to a better understanding of the molecular pathways and genes that protect against severe influenza disease.

Keywords Influenza, Mouse, C57BL/6J, DBA/2J, MX1, Transcriptome, Lung

*Correspondence: Klaus Schughart labschughart@online.de Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.gr/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.gr/licenses/by/4.0/.

Background

Influenza virus infections represent a major global health problem. Severe pandemics caused by zoonotic influenza A virus (IAV) strains that circulate in migratory and wild birds pose a concerning risk, as exemplified by the Spanish flu in 1918 that resulted in about 30 million deaths worldwide [1, 2]. In addition, human-adapted IAV of the H1N1 and H3N2 subtypes cause seasonal epidemic outbreaks with high mortality among elderly and immunocompromised individuals and large economic losses every year [3].

The MX dynamin-like GTPase 1 (MX1) gene is one of the most powerful host restriction factors against viral pathogens in mammals and is induced in response to the expression of type I and III interferons in infected cells and tissues [4–10]. The stalk domain of MX1 is able to form a ring-like oligomer that is thought to interact directly with intracellular viral ribonucleoproteins (vRNPs) to block viral RNA synthesis, including viral mRNAs and new genomic RNAs [4–10].

The mouse is an important model system to study the pathophysiology as well as the biological mechanisms and activation of gene regulatory pathways during influenza A infection and disease. However, in contrast to humans, most laboratory mouse strains carry a mutation in the Mx1 gene, which renders it non-functional and limits its comparability to humans [4–10].

Therefore, it is important to study the host response to influenza A infections in mouse strains that carry a functional Mx1 gene. Here, we utilized two strains, C57BL/6J $(B6-Mx1^{r/r})$ and DBA/2J $(D2-Mx1^{r/r})$, that carry the same functional Mx1 gene. Both strains have been generated by back crossing to the A2G strain, making them congenic for the same functional A2G *Mx1* allele [11]. Both strains have already been shown to serve as important models for studying influenza A host response and disease in vivo in the context of a functional *Mx1* gene (e.g. [12, 13]. C57BL/6J is the most commonly used mouse strain for many biological studies. In addition, the majority of gene knockout studies have been performed on the C57BL/6J genetic background. However, its Mx1 gene is non-functional. C57BL/6J mice carrying the functional Mx1 gene of the A2G mouse strain (B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$) were shown to be highly resistant to lethal A virus infections [8, 14–17]. On the other hand, the same functional Mx1gene in the DBA/2J mouse strain $(D2-Mx1^{r/r})$ does not confer protection against severe disease after influenza infection [11]. D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice exhibit very high viral loads early after infection as well as a hyper-inflammatory response in the lung, which causes high levels of immune cell infiltration and damage to the lung [11]. Consequently, $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ mice rapidly lose body weight after infection and die [11]. Intriguingly, pretreatment of D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice with interferon [11] or with defective interfering particles protects D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ from severe IAV disease [12, 13] and death, most likely because functional MX1 protein is produced before infection, thus inhibiting the rapid spread of virus in the early infection phase. Humans carry a functional MX1 gene but may still experience severe influenza disease. Therefore, D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice carrying a functional Mx1 gene represent an improved in vivo model for investigating the host responses and possible intervention strategies for severe influenza infections and disease in humans.

Here, we performed a transcriptome analysis to study changes in gene expression in the lung after influenza A virus infection in mice with a functional Mx1 gene. B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ were resistant and survived, D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice were highly susceptible and died. We identified many differentially expressed genes at days 3 and 5 post-infection (p.i.) versus mock-treated controls in both strains, and between the two strains. D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice exhibited a hyperinflammatory response, with many genes more strongly expressed than in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice, yet some DEGs were specifically up-regulated only in the resistant B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice.

Methods

Aim and design of the study

The aim of the study was to identify differentially expressed genes after infection of $B6-Mx1^{r/r}$ and $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ mice with influenza A virus compared to mock-treated controls and between the two strains. For this, female mice of both strains were infected with the PR8 influenza A virus, and RNA was isolated from lungs at days 3 and 5 post-infection (p.i.) as well as mock-infected controls and sequenced by next-generation RNA sequencing. Subsequently, levels of gene expression were compared between infected mice and controls and between the two strains and then analyzed by various bioinformatic methods. Five biological replicates (mice) were used per group.

Viruses

PR8 (H1N1) Influenza A virus was propagated and titrated as described [18, 19]. Briefly, embryonated chicken eggs were incubated at 37 °C with 50–70% humidity and rotated regularly. On day 10, eggs were infected with dilutions of viruses (e.g., 10^{-3} , 10^{-4}). The blunt sides of the eggs were disinfected with iodine, a hole was pierced in the eggs, and 200 µl of virus solution was injected. Afterward, the injection side was sealed with glue. Eggs were incubated for 48 h at 37 °C and 50–70% humidity without rotation. After incubation, eggs were stored overnight at 4 °C. For virus harvest, the eggs were opened with a knife and the outer membranes

were removed. The fluid was extracted with a pipette into a 15 ml tube and stored on ice. After the collection of the virus, an HA assay was performed to test for active virus. Tubes with active viruses were pooled, aliquoted and stored at -70 °C. Titer of the virus was determined by the focus-forming unit (FFU) assay, which is identical to the plaque-forming unit (PFU) assay, only plaques were identified by antibody staining. The methods have been described previously in detail [18].

Mouse infections

Generation of mice on a C57BL/6 and DBA/2 background carrying a functional Mx1 allele, B6.A2G- $Mx1^{r/r}$ $(B6-Mx1^{r/r})$ and D2(B6).A2G- $Mx1^{r/r}(D2-Mx1^{r/r})$ was described previously [11]. Both mouse strains were originally obtained from the Helmholtz Center of Infections Research, Braunschweig, Dept. of Infection Genetics. Consent for using these mouse strains was obtained by the owner (Klaus Schughart, then HZI). Experimental mice were bred and housed at the Laboratory Animal Care Unit (LACU, UTHSC Memphis). Ten to 12-weekold female mice were infected intranasally with 2×10^3 FFU of PR8F virus in 20 µl PBS as described before [18, 20]. Mice were euthanized at the indicated days post infection (p.i.) with an overdose of isoflurane followed by cervical dislocation. Studies were performed with five mice per group.

RNA preparation

Lungs were collected individually, washed in PBS and RNAlater and kept in RNA Later solution overnight at 4 °C and afterward at -70 °C. For RNA isolation, we used the RNeasy Midi kit from Qiagen. Lungs were thawed and transferred into lysing matrix D tubes containing 1 ml lysis buffer for RNA extraction. Then, individual whole lungs were homogenized by the FastPrep-24 Instrument (MP Biomedicals) for 2×1 min at 5G. Quality and integrity of total RNA were controlled on the 5200 Fragment Analyzer System (Agilent Technologies). RNA quality was confirmed on a 2100 Bioanalyzer Instrument (Agilent).

RNAsequencing

The RNA sequencing library was generated from 500 ng total RNA using the Dynabeads[®] mRNA DIRECTTM Micro Purification Kit (Thermo Fisher) for mRNA purification, followed by the NEBNext UltraTM II Directional RNA Library Prep Kit (New England BioLabs). The libraries were treated with Illumina Free Adapter Blocking and were sequenced on Illumina NovaSeq 6000 using the NovaSeq 6000 S1 Reagent Kit (300 cycles, paired end run 2×150 bp) with an average of 7×10^7 reads per RNA sample.

Bioinformatic analysis of RNAseq data

Reads were quality checked with package FastQC (version 0.11.4, http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/ projects/fastqc), then trimmed using Trimgalore (version 0.4.4, [21]) with default settings. Trimmed reads were mapped to mouse genome annotation mm11 (ENSMBL Musmusculus.GRCm39 release 104) and the eight virus genome segments of PR8 virus [19] using STAR (version 2.5.2b, [22]) with default settings. Mapped mouse reads were counted using RsubRead (version 1.32.4, [23]). Raw counts of mouse mapped reads were then normalized and log₂ transformed using the function rlogTransformation(dds, blind=TRUE) from the DESeq2 package (version 1.16.1, [24]) and an increment was added to the normalized values to make all values positive. Raw counts of virus mapped reads were normalized as counts per million reads (CPM). Principal component analysis (PCA) was then used to visualize variation among and between treatment groups. For the identification of differentially expressed genes (DEGs), the DESeq2 package (version 1.16.1, [24]) with the model DESeqDataSetFromMatrix(countData = count-Data, colData = coldata, $design = \sim group$) was used. All six treatment groups, infected B6-Mx1^{r/r} and D2-Mx1^{r/r} mice at days 3 and 5 p.i., and mock-treated B6- $Mx1^{r/2}$ ^{*r*}and D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ controls were included in the model. DEGs were determined by contrasting the groups from the model, based on an adjusted p-value of <0.05 and exhibiting more than a 2-fold $(\log_2 = 1)$ difference in expression levels. Multiple testing adjusted *p*-value were calculated according to Benjamini and Hochberg [25]. Functional analyses of DEGs were performed using the R software package clusterProfiler (version v3.14.3; [26]). Further analysis and visualization of expression data were performed using the R software package [27]. Hallmark gene sets [28] were downloaded from [29] and the mouse mh.all.v2022.1.Mm.symbols.gmt gene list was used for analysis.

Results

Body weight loss and viral gene expression

Female B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ and D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice (n=5 per group) were infected with 2×10^3 PFU PR8 influenza A virus or PBS only (mock treatment), and body weight was followed for 5 days p.i. (Fig. 1A). Mock-treated controls of both strains did not lose body weight, B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ infected mice showed minimal loss of body weight, starting at day 3 p.i., whereas D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ infected mice exhibited a larger loss of body weight loss on days 4 and 5 p.i., when compared to B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ infected mice. Please note that mock controls were only followed until day 3 when mice were sacrificed.

Expression levels of viral genes in lungs of infected mice can be considered a surrogate for infectious viral load [20]. We therefore determined the expression levels of viral genes at days 3 and 5 p.i. (Fig. 1B). At day 3 p.i. much higher viral gene expression was observed in infected D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice compared to B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice. Expression levels decreased in both strains from day 3 to day 5 p.i. but were still much higher in $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ (Fig. 1B). These results suggest that B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ B6 mice were able to better control initial viral replication and more rapidly cleared virus from the lung compared to $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ mice. Furthermore, a strong correlation between gene expression levels of viral genes and body weight loss was observed (Fig. 1C). Only at day 5 p.i., infected D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice showed a high loss of body weight but lower levels of virus gene expression than $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ mice on day 3 p.i. (Fig. 1C). These results suggest that levels of virus replication in D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$, especially on day 3 p.i., were strongly related to severity, as indicated by body weight loss. The results of body weight analysis on days 0-5 p.i. reproduced the previously observed resistant and susceptible phenotypes to influenza infection in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ and $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ mice, respectively [11].

Analysis of gene expression changes in infected mice

RNA was isolated from infected mice and mock controls and subjected to next-generation sequencing. A principal component analysis (PCA) of normalized gene expression values was performed to determine the main effects of variation. The first two principal components explained 62% of the total variation (Fig. 2A). PC1 and PC2 showed perfect grouping of replicates within a treatment group. PC1 was related to the effect of infection (versus mock), and PC2 to the effect of strains (B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ versus D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$). Expression of Mx1 was strongly up-regulated in both strains at day 3 p.i. after infection, with higher levels in $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ mice and slightly decreased in both strains at day 5 p.i. (Fig. 2B). Thus, the functional Mx1 allele was also well induced after infection in the susceptible D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mouse strain.

Differentially expressed genes in infected versus control animals

We then identified differentially expressed genes between infected B6-Mx1^{r/r} mice and B6-Mx1^{r/r} mock controls, between infected D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice and D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mock controls, and between B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ and D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice on days 3 and 5 p.i. Figure 2C shows the number of upand down-regulated DEGs for all comparisons. Of note, $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ infected mice exhibited much higher numbers of DEGs, compared to B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ infected mice at all days p.i., indicating a stronger inflammatory response in D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice. However, there was a large overlap of DEGs between infected B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ and D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ versus the respective mock controls; 80% of DEGs in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ were also regulated in D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ at day 3 p.i. (Fig. 2D). This overlap was still very high (70%) at day 5 p.i. (Fig. 2E). These observations indicate that the overall regulation of genes after infection was very similar in both mouse strains. Figure 3A to F show the volcano plots of DEGs for all comparisons, demonstrating high quality of the DEG detection with a good range of log-fold changes and *p*-values for all comparisons, and a strong host response in the infected samples at all days p.i. The complete lists of DEGs for all comparisons are provided in Supplementary Tables S1, S2, S3, S4, S5 and S6.

Functional pathway analysis of differentially expressed genes

Next, we performed a functional pathway analysis of DEGs for the above described comparisons. Figure 4A shows a comparison of the top 30 pathways for the upregulated DEGs from the contrast of infected to mock controls for both strains at days 3 and 5 p.i. Even though D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ infected mice had a much higher number of DEGs, most pathways for B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ and D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ infected mice were identical. These pathways included Response to virus infections, Response to interferon, Regulation of innate immune response, Leukocyte migration, Chemokine-mediated signaling (Fig. 4A). The pathways were all associated with the host's response to infection. In addition, Nuclear division, Chromosome segregation pathways most likely reflect the proliferation of

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 1 Body weight loss and virus replication. Mice were infected with 2×10^3 FFU of mouse-adapted PRF virus. **A** Body weight was recorded as a percent of the starting weight until mice were sacrificed. The plot shows the mean and +/- 1 SEM of the relative body weight for five biological replicates (mice) per group. **B** Boxplot of virus gene expression in mock-treated and infected B6-*Mx1^{t/t}* and D2-*Mx1^{t/t}* mice at days 3 and 5 p.i. Each box shows the results for one mouse sample. Samples are organized by groups, each sample representing a biological replicate (mouse). The boxes show the range of expression values (mean and 25% and 75% quartiles of CPMs) for all virus segments for this mouse. **C** Scatter plot representing the relative body weight (percent of starting body weight) for each mouse related to the virus gene expression (log₂ of the sum of CPMs from all segments) for this mouse. Each mouse is represented by a point. A strong correlation between relative body weight and virus gene expression was observed. Correlation coefficient: -0.67, *p*-value: 6×10^{-5}



Fig. 1 (See legend on previous page.)



Fig. 2 PCA, *Mx1* gene expression and DEGs overview. **A** PC1 and PC2 of a principle component analysis of the normalized transcriptome expression values from infected and mock-treated mouse lungs. Each dot represents values from a single mouse. **B** Boxplot for *Mx1* gene expression values in each group of mice. Each dot represents the value of a single mouse. Boxes represent the mean and range (25% and 75% quartiles) of normalized log₂ transformed expression values per group. **C** Numbers of up- and down-regulated DEGs for contrasts between the indicated groups of infected B6-*Mx1^{t/t}* and D2-*Mx1^{t/t}* and mock-treated mice at days 3 and 5 p.i. **D** Venn diagram illustrating overlap of DEGs from contrasts of infected B6-*Mx1^{t/t}* and D2-*Mx1^{t/t}* and D2-*Mx* and D2-*Mx* and D2-*Mx* and D2-*Mx* and D2-*Mx*

infiltrating immune cells and the repair of the lung epithelium, which was damaged by virus infection. However, expression of most DEGs was in general stronger for both up- and down-regulated DEGs in $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ mice compared to $B6-Mx1^{r/r}$ mice at days 3 p.i. (Fig. 4B; expression values of most DEGs in $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ were above the diagonal for up-regulated genes and below the diagonal for down-regulated genes).

The heatmaps of expression differences for individual pathways revealed that most genes were higher expressed in the susceptible $D2-MxI^{r/r}$ mice compared to the resistant B6- $MxI^{r/r}$ mice. Figure 4C shows the expression levels of DEGs from the four main pathways in Fig. 4A. As

examples, we list the top five in each pathway and report their known biological functions. In the Response to virus pathway, the five top-ranked DEGs with higher expression in D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ on day 3 p.i. compared to B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ on day 3 and day 5 were: *Il12b, Trim30d, Ifi207, Mx2*, and *Ifi204* (Fig. 4C; Table 1). In the Interferon beta response pathway, the top five annotated DEGs were: *Ifnb1, Oas1a, Ifi203, Xaf1*, and *Tgtp2* (Fig. 4C; Table 1). In the Leukocyte migration pathway, the five top-ranked DEGs were: *C3ar1, Ccl1, Thbs1, Serpine1*, and *Cxcl11* (Fig. 4C; Table 1). In contrast, for Chromosome segregation pathways, most genes were higher expressed in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice compared to D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice at day 3 p.i.; the top



Fig. 3 Volcano plots of differentially expressed genes (DEGs). **A** B6- $Mx1^{t/t}$ at day 3 p.i. versus mock-infected B6- $Mx1^{t/t}$ mice. **B** B6- $Mx1^{t/t}$ at day 5 p.i. versus mock-infected B6- $Mx1^{t/t}$ mice. **C** D2- $Mx1^{t/t}$ at day 3 p.i. versus mock-infected D2- $Mx1^{t/t}$ mice. **D** D2- $Mx1^{t/t}$ at day 5 p.i. versus mock-infected mice. **F** D2- $Mx1^{t/t}$ at day 5 p.i. versus B6- $Mx1^{t/t}$ at day 5 p.i. infected mice. **F** D2- $Mx1^{t/t}$ at day 5 p.i. versus B6- $Mx1^{t/t}$ at day 5 p.i. infected mice. **F** D2- $Mx1^{t/t}$ at day 5 p.i. versus B6- $Mx1^{t/t}$ at day 5 p.i. infected mice. **Y**-axis: -log₁₀ multiple testing adjusted *p*-values, x-axis: log₂ fold change. DEGs are colored red, and the top 20 up- and down-regulated (by log-fold change) DEGs are labeled. Blue: genes with an adjusted *p*-value < 0.05. Yellow: genes with an absolute log₂-fold change > 1. Grey: not significant (NS)

five annotated DEGs were: *Cdt1, Ccne1, Psrc1, Fen1*, and *Eme1* (Fig. 4C; Table 1). In addition, the timing was different from the other pathways, being stronger activated at day 5 p.i. compared to day 3 p.i. Also, down-regulation of inflammatory genes for B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ from 3 dpi to 5 dpi was clearly evident (Fig. 4C), most likely due to the elimination of replicating viruses (Fig. 1B).

On the other hand, most important for the activation of the host defense and protection against severe disease are genes that are up-regulated in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$, and which may more strongly repress viral replication. We, therefore, looked specifically at genes up-regulated in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ compared to D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ at 3 day p.i. and being regulated after infection in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$. In total, we identified 717 DEGs belonging to this category (without counting Rik and Gm annotated genes; listed in Table S7). The individual expression levels per group of the top up-regulated 20 DEGs, by LFC, are shown in Fig. 5, an overview of their relative expression levels in a heatmap is shown in Fig. 6A. Both figures clearly demonstrate lower expression in D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ at day 3 p.i., which was maintained at day 5 p.i. The main pathways for these DEGs were related to Humoral immune response, Cell recognition, and Complement activation (Fig. 6B). A detailed analysis of DEGs from these pathways identified many Immunoglobulin genes (Fig. 6C). These Ig genes are most likely specific to the B6 haplotype, and thus reads from D2 mice may not be detected in the mapping to the B6 reference genome. As examples for the above DEG pathways genes, we name and discuss the function of the top five genes in each category that were not Ig genes (listed in Table 1). In the Humoral immune response pathway, the top-ranked DEGs with higher expression in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ on day 3 p.i. compared to D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ were: *Fcna*, and *Hc* (Fig. 6C; Table 1). In the Cell recognition pathway, the top-ranked DEGs with higher expression in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ on day 3 p.i. were: *Megf10, Cntn2, Spon2, Sftpd,* and *Cntn6* (Fig. 6C; Table 1). In the Complement activation pathway, the top-ranked DEGs with higher expression in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ on day 3 p.i. were: *Spon2, Nts, Fcna, Ccl17,* and *Pgc* (Fig. 6C; Table 1).

Functional pathway analysis of hallmark genes

We then analyzed specific hallmark gene sets [28] of DEGs that were differentially expressed in any comparison of B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ and D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice to the respective mock controls (Table S8). Hallmark genes for Inflammatory response, Interferon- α response, and Complement were almost all higher expressed in infected D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ compared to infected B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice at 3 and 5 days p.i. (Figs. 7A-C). In infected B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice,



Fig. 4 Cluster profiler and heatmaps from pathway analysis of DEGs. **A** Cluster profiler of EnrichGO pathway analysis for up-regulated DEGs from the contrasts of infected mice versus mock-treated controls. **B** Scatter plot showing mean differences as log-fold change (LFC) of infected B6-*Mx1^{t/t}* and D2-*Mx1^{t/t}* to respective mock treatments for the DEGs from the contrast of B6-*Mx1^{t/t}* versus mock at day 3 p.i. **C** Heatmaps of up-regulated DEGs from cluster analysis in **A**, showing the difference in relative gene expression levels in infected mice versus mock-control mice from four pathways, separately for B6-*Mx1^{t/t}* and D2-*Mx1^{t/t}* mice at days 3 and 5 p.i. Values were scaled by row

the expression of almost all hallmark genes decreased at day 5 p.i. (Figs. 7A-C). In infected $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ mice, lower expression was also observed at day 5 p.i. compared to day 3 p.i., but expression was still higher than in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice.

Comparison of gene expression profiles in infected $Mx1^{r/r}$ to $Mx1^{-/-}$ mice

Previously, we analyzed differences in lung gene expression in IAV-infected B6- $Mx1^{-/-}$ and D2- $Mx1^{-/-}$ mice that were Mx1-deficient [20]. Therefore, we compared

Table 1 List of DEGs identified from analysis of DEGs and their known functions. Information on gene symbols and names from [30], information on gene functions downloaded from [31] and then edited

Gene symbol	Gene name	Known function
ll12b	Interleukin 12B	The encoded protein contributes to cytokine activity and cytokine receptor binding activity. It is involved in the regulation of T cell mediated cytotoxic- ity, T-helper 1 type immune response, and acts in the defense response to other organisms, including cellular responses to lipopolysaccharide, and regulation of type II interferon production.
Trim30d	Tripartite motif-containing 30D	The encoded protein has transcription co-activator activity and ubiquitin protein ligase activity. It acts as a defense response to other organisms.
lfi207	Interferon activated gene 207	The encoded protein has double-stranded DNA binding activity and is pre- dicted to be involved in the activation of the innate immune response and the cellular response to interferon-beta.
Mx2	MX dynamin-like GTPase 2	The encoded protein has GTP-binding activity and GTPase activity. It is involved in the negative regulation of viral genome replication and the response to type I interferon.
lfi204	Interferon activated gene 204	The encoded protein has double-stranded DNA binding activity and transcription coregulator activity and is involved in cellular response to interferon-alpha. It acts in cellular responses to interferon-beta, positive regulation of osteoblast differentiation, and regulation of transcription by RNA polymerase II.
lfnb1	Interferon beta 1	The encoded protein has cytokine activity and type I interferon receptor binding activity. It is involved in the response to viruses and the type I interferon-mediated signaling pathway.
Oas1a	2'-5' oligoadenylate synthetase 1 A	The encoded protein has 2'-5'-oligoadenylate synthetase activity and double-stranded RNA binding activity. It is involved in the purine nucleotide biosynthetic process and acts upstream of the negative regula- tion of the viral process.
lfi203	Interferon activated gene 203	The encoded protein has double-stranded DNA binding activity and pro- tein binding activity. It acts upstream of or within the cellular response to interferon-beta.
Xaf1	XIAP associated factor 1	The encoded protein has molecular sequestering activity. It is predicted to be involved in negative regulation of protein-containing complex assembly, negative regulation of type I interferon production, and response to interferon-beta. It is thought to act upstream of apoptotic processes.
Tgtp2	T cell specific GTPase 2	The encoded protein has GTPase activity. It is predicted to be involved in the defense response to protozoan.
C3ar1	Complement component 3a receptor 1	The encoded protein has G protein-coupled receptor activity, complement component C3a binding activity, and complement receptor activity. It is involved in the regulation of angiogenesis, vascular endothelial growth factor production, and granulocyte chemotaxis.
Ccl1	C-C motif chemokine ligand 1	The encoded protein has cytokine activity. It is involved in the cellular response to interleukin-17 and acts on cell chemotaxis.
Thbs 1	Thrombospondin 1	The encoded protein has extracellular matrix-binding activity. It is involved in behavioral responses to pain, regulation of macrophage chemotaxis, and regulation of the transforming growth factor beta receptor signaling pathway. It acts in the cellular response to nitric oxide, circulatory system development, and monocyte aggregation.
Serpine1	Serine (or cysteine) peptidase inhibitor, clade E, member 1	The encoded protein has serine-type endopeptidase inhibitor activity. It is involved in the defense response to Gram-negative bacteria, the negative regulation of plasminogen activation, and the regulation of angiogenesis. It acts in cellular responses to the transforming growth factor beta stimulus, placenta development, and regulation of angiogenesis.
Cxcl11	Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 11	The encoded protein has CXCR3 chemokine receptor binding activity, chemokine activity, and heparin binding activity. It is involved in the cellular response to lipopolysaccharide, leukocyte chemotaxis, and positive regulation of the release of sequestered calcium ion into the cytosol. It acts on cell chemotaxis and signal transduction.
Cdt1	Chromatin licensing and DNA replication factor 1	The encoded protein enables DNA binding. Ii is involved in DNA replication checkpoint signaling and regulation of DNA-templated DNA replication initiation, acting upstream of regulation of nuclear cell cycle DNA replication.

Table 1 (continued)

Gene symbol	Gene name	Known function
Ccne1	Cyclin E1	The encoded protein has cyclin-dependent protein serine/threonine kinase regulator, kinase, and protein kinase binding activity. It acts in DNA metabolic process, homologous chromosome pairing at meiosis, and negative regulation of transcription by RNA polymerase II.
Psrc1	Proline/serine-rich coiled-coil 1	The encoded protein has microtubule binding activity. It is involved in microtubule bundle formation, negative regulation of cell growth, and positive regulation of microtubule polymerization.
Fen1	Flap structure specific endonuclease 1	The encoded protein has DNA binding, metal ion binding, and nuclease activity. It is involved in DNA repair and DNA replication.
Eme1	Essential meiotic structure-specific endonuclease 1	The encoded protein has DNA binding activity. It is predicted to contribute to crossover junction DNA endonuclease activity, to be involved in DNA metabolic process and mitotic intra-S DNA damage checkpoint signaling. It is part of heterochromatin.
Fcna	Ficolin A	The encoded protein enables antigen binding. It has carbohydrate deriva- tive binding and signaling receptor binding activity. It is predicted to be involved in complement activation, lectin pathway.
Нс	Hemolytic complement	The encoded protein has endopeptidase inhibitor activity. It is involved in positive regulation of angiogenesis and acts upstream in glomerulus development, inflammatory response to wounding, and neutrophil homeostasis.
Megf10	Multiple EGF-like-domains 10	The encoded protein has Notch binding activity and is involved in myo- blast development, positive regulation of myoblast proliferation, and skel- etal muscle satellite cell proliferation. It acts in apoptotic process involved in development, engulfment of apoptotic cell, and recognition of apop- totic cell.
Cntn2	Contactin 2	The encoded protein enables cell-cell adhesion mediator activity. It is involved in clustering of voltage-gated potassium channels, establish- ment of protein localization to juxtaparanode region of axon, and reduc- tion of food intake in response to dietary excess.
Spon2	Spondin 2, extracellular matrix protein	The encoded protein enables antigen binding and lipopolysaccharide binding activity. It acts in the defense response to other organism, opsoni- zation, and positive regulation of cytokine production.
Sftpd	Surfactant associated protein D	The encoded protein has protein binding activity and is predicted to be involved in negative regulation of interleukin-2 production, opsonization, and regulation of phagocytosis. It acts in innate immune response and res- piratory gaseous exchange by respiratory system.
Cntn6	Contactin 6	The encoded protein enables cell-cell adhesion mediator activity and acts in positive regulation of Notch signaling pathway.
Nts	Neurotensin	The encoded protein has neuropeptide receptor binding activity and receptor ligand activity. It is predicted to be involved antimicrobial humoral immune response mediated by antimicrobial peptide, neuropep- tide signaling pathway, and visual learning.
Ccl17	C-C motif chemokine ligand 17	The encoded protein has CCR4 chemokine receptor binding activity and chemokine activity and acts in negative regulation of myoblast dif- ferentiation.
Pgc	Progastricsin (pepsinogen C)	The encoded protein has aspartic-type endopeptidase activity. It is predicted to be involved in positive regulation of antibacterial peptide production and proteolysis.

these responses to responses in mice with a functional Mx1 gene from this study. Here, we used the 'standard' PR8 virus (also referred to PR8F in our previous studies), which is commonly used by other laboratories [11]. This virus is lethal for B6- $Mx1^{-/-}$ mice at an infection dose of 10³ FFU and for D2- $Mx1^{-/-}$ mice at an infection dose of 10 FFU or lower [11]. For B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice, infection with this virus is not lethal at an infection dose of 10³

FFU [11]. Our previous analyses of Mx1-deficient infected mouse strains B6- $Mx1^{-/-}$ and D2- $Mx1^{-/-}$ used a much less virulent virus, PR8M [19, 32]. B6- $Mx1^{-/-}$ mice survive an infection with a dose of 10³ FFU PR8M whereas D2- $Mx1^{-/-}$ mice succumb to the infection [19, 32]. We and others described both viruses in much detail in earlier studies [19, 33]. Furthermore, the analyses with Mx1-deficient mice were performed using a different sequencing



Fig. 5 Boxplots for top DEGs up-regulated in B6- $Mx1^{t/r}$. Boxplots are shown for the top 20 DEGs that were up-regulated (by log-fold-change) in infected B6- $Mx1^{t/r}$ compared to infected D2- $Mx1^{t/r}$ at day 3 p.i. and regulated in B6- $Mx1^{t/r}$ compared to B6- $Mx1^{t/r}$ mock controls. Boxes represent the mean and range (25% and 75% quartiles) of normalized log₂ transformed expression values per group

platform, Ion Torrent [20]. These conditions make it impossible to compare DEGs directly. However, some general trends could be observed, as described below.

Most remarkably, the kinetics of virus replication and the number of DEGs was very different in B6- $Mx1^{-/-}$ and D2- $Mx1^{-/-}$ mice compared to B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ and D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice. In Mx1-deficient mice, viral gene expression in B6- $Mx1^{-/-}$ was still high at day 3 p.i., whereas it decreased in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice between day 3 and 5 p.i. (compare Fig. 1B with 8A). Also, Mx1 transcripts were up-regulated after infection with expression kinetics resembling the ones observed for $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice (compare Figs. 2B, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8B). Only in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice, expression at day 5 p.i. was lower compared to day 3 p.i. whereas in B6- $Mx1^{-/-}$ mice, expression was higher at day 5 p.i. than on day 3 p.i. Furthermore, the number of DEGs increased from day 3 to day 5 p.i. (Fig. 8A) in both B6- $Mx1^{-/-}$ and D2- $Mx1^{-/-}$, whereas in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ and D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice, the numbers of DEGs decreased (compare Figs. 2C, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8C). Expression of hallmark genes was still high in B6- $Mx1^{-/-}$ mice at day 5 p.i. compared to day 3 p.i. (Figs. 9A-C) whereas in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice, they decreased from day 3 to day 5 p.i. (compare Figs. 7A-C, 8 and 9A-C). In D2- $Mx1^{-/-}$ mice, the Interferon alpha response was still high at day 5 p.i. compared to day 3 p.i. (Fig. 9B) and did not decrease as in D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice (Fig. 7B). Complement activation hallmark genes were higher at day 5 p.i. than day 3 p.i. in D2- $Mx1^{-/-}$ mice (Fig. 9C) whereas in D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice, the expression levels decreased from day 3 to day 5 p.i. (Fig. 7C).

Discussion

Here, we report on a detailed analysis of gene expression changes in the lungs of B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ and D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice, which carry the same functional Mx1 gene derived from



Fig. 6 Heatmap, EnrichGO analysis and pathway heatmaps for DEGs up-regulated in B6-*Mx1^{t/t}*. Results are shown for DEGs that were up-regulated in infected B6-*Mx1^{t/t}* compared to infected D2-*Mx1^{t/t}* at day 3 p.i. and regulated in B6-*Mx1^{t/t}* compared to B6-*Mx1^{t/t}* mock controls. **A** Heatmap of normalized expression values for the up-regulated DEGs showing the relative gene expression levels in all groups. Values were scaled by row. **B** Cluster profiler of EnrichGO pathway analysis for DEGs up-regulated in B6-*Mx1^{t/t}* mice. **C** Heatmap for relative gene expression levels for infected B6-*Mx1^{t/t}* mice, minus mock-controls, for three pathways in Fig. 6B, separately at days 3 and 5 p.i. Values were scaled by row

A2G mice. B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ were highly resistant and survived an infection, whereas D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ were highly susceptible and died.

Even though D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ infected mice activated a much higher number of DEGs, most pathways for B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ and D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ infected mice were identical. These findings suggest that gene expression profiles in both mouse models were mainly directed by the infection and not directly associated with the presence of a functional Mx1gene. However, virus replication was strongly suppressed in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice. Thus, the presence or absence of a functional Mx1 gene does not seem to have an effect on the overall gene expression profiles, but rather directly affects viral replication. Many DEGs were identified in the comparison of infected B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ versus their mock controls and infected D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ versus their mock controls, as well as in the contrast of infected B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ versus infected D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice. In general, D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ infected mice showed much higher numbers of DEGs, compared to B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ infected mice. Similar observations were made for selected hallmark genes. These general differences were most likely due to the higher viral loads causing a stronger activation of host response genes in D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice.

Most important for understanding resistance in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice are genes that are up-regulated in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ but not or to a lesser extent in D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$. Our analysis identified many DEGs in various analyses (a summarized selection is shown in Table 1) that may play an important role in the host defense and/or explain



Fig. 7 Hallmark gene analysis for DEGs. DEGs from all contrasts of infected D2- $Mx1^{t/t}$ at day 3 and 5 p.i. versus mock-treated D2- $Mx1^{t/t}$ and from all contrasts of infected B6- $Mx1^{t/t}$ at day 3 and 5 p.i. versus mock-treated B6- $Mx1^{t/t}$ at day 3 and 5 p.i. versus mock-treated B6- $Mx1^{t/t}$ mice were combined (Supplement Table S8) and subjected to hallmark gene analysis. Heatmaps show normalized expression levels (scaled by row) of the means of gene expression levels for B6- $Mx1^{t/t}$ and D2- $Mx1^{t/t}$ mice minus the respective controls. **A** Heatmap for hallmark 'Inflammatory Response' genes. **B** Heatmap for the hallmark 'Interferon Alpha' genes. **C** Heatmap for hallmark 'Complement' genes. Values were scaled by row

the difference in susceptibility to IAV in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ versus D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice. We describe the known function of these genes in Table 1 (using information from [30] and [31]). Almost all these genes play an important role in the host response against microbial infections or damage repair after infection.

In particular, genes from the humoral immune response were activated in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ but not in D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice early after infection. These findings suggest that in $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ mice, although a high inflammatory response was generated, some arms of the host immune response were not properly functioning. This defect may already exist before the infection event, and all observed differences and defects that we observe are secondary to the initial defect. Of note, many of these DEGs showed already low or no expression in $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ compared to B6- $MxI^{r/r}$ at baseline in mock-treated mice (Fig. 5). Alternatively, very early responses are compromised, which allowed high virus replication early after infection. The finding that alveolar macrophages showed a dysfunctional phenotype in D2 mice supports the hypothesis of a pre-infection defect [34].

The function of genes that were expressed higher in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ may explain the stronger resistance of B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice to infections with influenza A virus. However, a large overlap of activated DEGs was observed between B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ and D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$. This observation suggest that the cause for the high susceptibility of D2 mice was most likely not due to a single gene but represents a complex trait with multi-genic effects. We and others have shown in quantitative trait mapping studies using BXD genetic reference populations that the stronger resistance of $B6-Mx1^{-/-}$ compared to $D2-Mx1^{-/-}$ mice was not due to a single gene but most likely linked to the function of many gene loci [35, 36]. In line with this finding, the ATPase SMARCA2, which is a component of the SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex and a co-transcriptional regulator of many ISGs, was identified as a cofactor for human MX1-mediated antiviral activity against IAV. However, this was not due to a direct effect on the MX1 protein, but rather by regulating gene expression

of important viral restriction factors such as IFITM2 or IGFBP3 that may work in concert with MX1 [37].

Of note, the expression kinetics of Mx1 transcripts themselves in Mx1-deficient mice and $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice for both strains were very similar, indicating that in Mx1deficient mice, regulation of the gene was not impaired. Only in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice, expression at day 5 p.i. was lower compared to day 3 p.i. whereas in B6- $Mx1^{-/-}$ mice, expression was higher at day 5 p.i. than on day 3 p.i. These observations are in line with the effective reduction of viral load in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice from day 3 to 5 p.i.

We also compared the results from this study to gene expression changes in Mx1-deficient mice, which were published previously [20]. In Mx1-deficient B6- $Mx1^{-/-}$ and D2- $Mx1^{-/-}$ mice, the number of DEGs was much higher at day 5 compared to day 3 p.i. whereas in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ and D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice, the number of DEGs at day 5 was lower than at day 3. These results suggest that in both mouse strains, carrying a functional Mx1, virus replication was repressed more efficiently than in Mx1-deficient mice. However, this did not rescue D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice from death, most likely because lung damage caused by early high virus replication and spread, and immunopathology was already too advanced and could not be reversed.

From all the above results on viral loads and expression of DEGs, we conclude that the high susceptibility in $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ mice was most likely due to a combination of a high viral load, leading to lung tissue damage, and the hyper-inflammatory immunopathology caused by a strong anti-inflammatory reaction of the innate immune system. This hypothesis is further supported by our previous studies showing higher chemokine/cytokine secretion and viral loads in the infected lungs of $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ mice [11].

During very early time points, expression of interferons and their response genes is suppressed in virus-infected cells by viral genes, and virus replication increases exponentially [38]. Infected cells secrete interferons which induced an anti-viral response in non-infected genes. Thus, expression of a functional Mx1 is activated at a time when the virus has already massively spread in the lungs of $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ mice and is not able to substantially limit lung damage caused by the early virus spreading. Mx1 is fully functional in D2- $Mx1^{m}$ mice, and when activated prior to infection, it is able to suppress early virus replication and prevent death. One reason for the higher and more rapid early virus replication in D2 mice may be a dysfunction of alveolar macrophages and an increased permissiveness of respiratory cells to virus infection [34].

Phenotypic and molecular analyses in some mouse strains that are wild-derived, and which carry a function Mx1 gene have been described. In general, mice carrying a functional Mx1 gene strongly suppress viral replication, whereas mice with a non-functional Mx1 gene carry higher viral loads [39, 40]. The exceptions are D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ [11] and CAST/EiJ mouse strains [39, 40]. In these strains, the duration and high magnitude of the expression of host inflammatory genes strongly correlates with viral loads [40–43], supporting the hypothesis that the destruction of lung tissue by high virus replication and spread, and the strong hyperinflammatory response lead to morbidity and mortality in these susceptible mouse strains.

Our study has some limitations. We identified many genes that may play an important role in explaining the difference between susceptible and resistant mice. However, future experimental studies will be necessary to actually demonstrate such a function during influenza or viral infections. For example, DEGs between B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ and D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ could be functionally tested in B6-Mx1^{r/r} mouse knock-out mutants for reproducing a $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ susceptible phenotype. Also, our studies have been performed in the mouse model, and their importance for human infections will have to be demonstrated in human cell culture, organoids, lung tissue cultures or genome wide association studies. Nevertheless, we identified highly valuable candidates that would merit such analyses in the human system. Also, $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ mice at day 5 p.i. were highly moribund, most likely due to the destruction of lung tissue, which resulted in massive cell death, compromising further virus replication. Therefore, expression of genes may be reduced in general because of the high destruction of lung tissue. We thus concentrated

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 8 Virus replication and hallmark genes in Mx1-deficient mice. **A** Boxplot of virus gene expression in mock-treated and infected B6-Mx1^{t/r} and D2-Mx1^{t/r} mice at days 3 and 5 p.i. Each box shows the results for one mouse sample. Samples are organized by groups, each sample representing a biological replicate (mouse). The boxes show the range of expression values (mean and 25% and 75% quartiles of CPMs) for all virus segments for this mouse. Note that because of some host reads mapping to virus genes and subsequent normalization, virus signals in mock-treated mice are not zero but at background levels. **B** Boxplot for Mx1 gene expression values in each group of Mx1-deficient mice. Each dot represents the value from a single mouse. Boxes represent the mean and range (25% and 75% quartiles) of normalized log₂ transformed expression values per group. **C** Numbers of up- and down-regulated DEGs for contrasts between the indicated groups of infected B6- $Mx1^{-/-}$ and D2- $Mx1^{-/-}$ and mock-treated mice at days 3 and 5 p.i



Fig. 8 (See legend on previous page.)



Fig. 9 Hallmark gene analysis for DEGs. Heatmaps show normalized expression levels of the means of gene expression levels (scaled by row) for B6-*Mx1^{-/-}* and D2-*Mx1^{-/-}* mice minus the respective controls for all DEGs (Supplement table S7). **A** Heatmap for hallmark 'Inflammatory Response' genes. **B** Heatmap for the hallmark 'Interferon Alpha' genes. **C** Heatmap for hallmark 'Complement' genes. Values were scaled by row

our study on the earlier stages, at day 3 p.i. by trying to identify important genes in $B6-Mx1^{r/r}$ that may explain its resistance compared to highly susceptible $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ mice. Furthermore, we compared our results in Mx1 mice with findings from Mx1-deficient mice, and we observed some general trends that were different. However, Mx1-deficient mice were infected with a much less virulent virus, and expression analysis used a different next-generation sequencing platform [20] which makes a direct comparison difficult.

Conclusions

In this study, we performed a detailed transcriptome analysis in the lungs of B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ and D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice carrying a functional *Mx1* gene after infection with the influenza A virus. B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ were highly resistant to virus infections, they lost little weight and survived, whereas $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ mice were highly susceptible, losing weight rapidly and dying. We identified many differentially expressed genes in $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ compared to B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice at days 3 and 5 p.i. However, the overall activation of host response pathways was similar in both strains. Thus, the presence or absence of a functional Mx1 gene did not seem to have an effect on the overall gene expression profiles. We identified many DEGs that showed higher expression levels in B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ compared to $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ mice. These genes may be involved in resistance to influenza infections.

We hypothesize that the activation of certain immune response genes was missing and that others, especially Mx1, were expressed at a time in $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ mice virus had already massively spread in the lung and were thus not able to protect them from severe disease.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12863-024-01203-3.

Additional file 1. Description of data: list of DEGs from comparison of infected $B6-Mx1^{t/t}$ at day 3 p.i. versus $B6-Mx1^{t/t}$ mock controls.

Additional file 2. Description of data: list of DEGs from comparison of infected B6-Mx1^{t/r} at day 5 p.i. versus B6-Mx1^{t/r} mock controls at day 5 p.i.

Additional file 3. Description of data: list of DEGs from comparison of infected $D2-Mx1^{t/t}$ at day 3 p.i. versus $D2-Mx1^{t/t}$ mock controls.

Additional file 4. Description of data: list of DEGs from comparison of infected D2- $Mx1^{t/r}$ at day 5 p.i. versus D2- $Mx1^{t/r}$ mock controls.

Additional file 5. Description of data: list of DEGs from comparison of infected $D2-Mx1^{r/r}$ versus $B6-Mx1^{r/r}$ at day 3 p.i.

Additional file 6. Description of data: list of DEGs from comparison of infected $D2-Mx1^{t/r}$ versus B6- $Mx1^{t/r}$ at day 3 p.i.

Additional file 7. Description of data: DEGs up-regulated in B6- $Mx1^{t/r}$ and regulated in infected B6- $Mx1^{t/r}$ at day 3 p.i. (all DEGs in B6- $Mx1^{t/r}$ versus mock controls).

Additional file 8. Description of data: combined list of all DEGs from comparison of infected D2- $Mx1^{r/r}$ and B6- $Mx1^{r/r}$ mice day 3 and 5 p.i. versus controls.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Molecular Resource Center (MRC, UTHSC Memphis) for QC of RNA samples, the RG Genome Analytics (HZI Braunschweig) for RNA sequencing, the Laboratory Animal Care Unit (LACU, UTHSC Memphis) for providing mouse breeding and husbandry facilities, and the Regional Biocontainment Laboratory (RBL, UTHSC Memphis) for providing infection facilities. We acknowledge support from the Open Access Publication Fund of the University of Muenster. We thank Sarah Leist for critical comments to the manuscript. We thank the reviewers for their highly valuable and constructive comments.

Authors' contributions

Conception, design, and funding acquisition: KS; experiments: SB, analysis of data: SB and KS; writing of manuscript: SB, LB and KS. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Funding

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. This study was supported by intra-mural grants from the Helmholtz-Association (Program Infection and Immunity), a start-up grant from UTHSC, and NIAID Research Grants 2-U19-AI100625-06 REVISED and 5U19A|100625-07 awarded to KS.

Availability of data and materials

The raw data and normalized gene expression levels are available at the GEO expression database [44, 45]. ID: GSE252374.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All experiments with mice were approved by the local IACUC (UTHSC, Memphis), approval no: 13-153-0.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Biochemistry, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, TN, USA. ²Institute of Virology Münster, University of Münster, Von-Esmarch-Straße 56, 48149 Münster, Germany.

Received: 27 October 2023 Accepted: 31 January 2024 Published online: 15 February 2024

References

- 1. Taubenberger JK, Morens DM. The pathology of influenza virus infections. Annu Rev Pathol. 2008;3:499–522.
- Jackson ML, Starita L, Kiniry E, Phillips CH, Wellwood S, Cho S, et al. Incidence of medically attended acute respiratory illnesses due to respiratory

- Iuliano AD, Roguski KM, Chang HH, Muscatello DJ, Palekar R, Tempia S, et al. Estimates of global seasonal influenza-associated respiratory mortality: a modelling study. Lancet. 2018;391(10127):1285–300.
- Haller O, Arnheiter H, Pavlovic J, Staeheli P. The discovery of the antiviral resistance gene Mx: a story of great ideas, great failures, and some success. Annual Rev Virol. 2018;5(1):33–51.
- Haller O, Staeheli P, Schwemmle M, Kochs G. Mx GTPases: dynaminlike antiviral machines of innate immunity. Trends Microbiol. 2015;23(3):154–63.
- Haller O, Weber F. The interferon response circuit in antiviral host defense. Verh K Acad Geneeskd Belg. 2009;71(1–2):73–86.
- Haller O, Staeheli P, Kochs G. Protective role of interferon-induced Mx GTPases against influenza viruses. Rev Sci Tech. 2009;28(1):219–31.
- Tumpey TM, Szretter KJ, Van Hoeven N, Katz JM, Kochs G, Haller O, et al. The Mx1 gene protects mice against the pandemic 1918 and highly lethal human H5N1 influenza viruses. J Virol. 2007;81(19):10818–21.
- 9. Haller O, Staeheli P, Kochs G. Interferon-induced Mx proteins in antiviral host defense. Biochimie. 2007;89(6–7):812–8.
- 10. Haller O, Kochs G, Weber F. The interferon response circuit: induction and suppression by pathogenic viruses. Virology. 2006;344(1):119–30.
- Shin DL, Hatesuer B, Bergmann S, Nedelko T, Schughart K. Protection from severe influenza virus infections in mice carrying the Mx1 influenza virus resistance gene strongly depends on genetic background. J Virol. 2015;89(19):9998–10009.
- Hein MD, Kollmus H, Marichal-Gallardo P, Püttker S, Benndorf D, Genzel Y, et al. OP7, a novel influenza a virus defective interfering particle: production, purification, and animal experiments demonstrating antiviral potential. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2021;105(1):129–46.
- Hein MD, Arora P, Marichal-Gallardo P, Winkler M, Genzel Y, Pöhlmann S, et al. Cell culture-based production and in vivo characterization of purely clonal defective interfering influenza virus particles. BMC Biol. 2021;19(1):91.
- Cilloniz C, Pantin-Jackwood MJ, Ni C, Carter VS, Korth MJ, Swayne DE, et al. Molecular signatures associated with Mx1-mediated resistance to highly pathogenic influenza virus infection: mechanisms of survival. J Virol. 2012;86(5):2437–46.
- Hodgson NR, Bohnet SG, Majde JA, Krueger JM. Influenza virus pathophysiology and brain invasion in mice with functional and dysfunctional Mx1 genes. Brain Behav Immun. 2012;26:83–9.
- Moritoh K, Yamauchi H, Asano A, Yoshii K, Kariwa H, Takashima I, et al. Generation of congenic mouse strains by introducing the virus-resistant genes, Mx1 and Oas1b, of feral mouse-derived inbred strain MSM/Ms into the common strain C57BL/6J. Jpn J Vet Res. 2009;57(2):89–99.
- Grimm D, Staeheli P, Hufbauer M, Koerner I, Martínez-Sobrido L, Solorzano A, et al. Replication fitness determines high virulence of influenza a virus in mice carrying functional Mx1 resistance gene. PNAS. 2007;104(16):6806–11.
- Wilk E, Schughart K. The mouse as model system to study host-pathogen interactions in influenza A infections. Curr Protoc Mouse Biol. 2012;2:177–205.
- Blazejewska P, Koscinski L, Viegas N, Anhlan D, Ludwig S, Schughart K. Pathogenicity of different PR8 influenza a virus variants in mice is determined by both viral and host factors. Virology. 2011;412(1):36–45.
- Wilk E, Pandey AK, Leist SR, Hatesuer B, Preusse M, Pommerenke C, et al. RNAseq expression analysis of resistant and susceptible mice after influenza a virus infection identifies novel genes associated with virus replication and important for host resistance to infection. BMC Genomics. 2015;16:655.
- 21. Trimgalore. https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/.
- 22. Dobin A, Gingeras TR. Mapping RNA-seq reads with STAR. Curr Protocols Bioinf. 2015;51:11 .4.1-9.
- Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. The R package rsubread is easier, faster, cheaper and better for alignment and quantification of RNA sequencing reads. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47(8):e47.
- 24. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of Fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15(12):550.

- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful apporach to multiple testing. J Roy Stat Soc. 1995;57:289–300.
- 26. Yu G, Wang LG, Han Y, He QY. clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. OMICS. 2012;16(5):284–7.
- R_Core_Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. 2014. http:// www.R-project.org/.
- Liberzon A, Birger C, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Ghandi M, Mesirov JP, Tamayo P. The Molecular signatures database (MSigDB) hallmark gene set collection. Cell Syst. 2015;1(6):417–25.
- 29. Hallmark_genes_mouse. Hallmark genes mouse. https://data.broadinsti tute.org/gsea-msigdb/msigdb/release/20221Mm/.
- 30. MGI. Mouse Genome Informatics. https://www.informaticsjax.org/.
- Alliance_of_Genome_Resources. Alliance of Genome Resources. https:// www.alliancegenome.org/.
- Srivastava B, Blazejewska P, Hessmann M, Bruder D, Geffers R, Mauel S, et al. Host genetic background strongly influences the response to influenza a virus infections. PLoS ONE. 2009;4(3):e4857.
- Liedmann S, Hrincius ER, Anhlan D, McCullers JA, Ludwig S, Ehrhardt C. New virulence determinants contribute to the enhanced immune response and reduced virulence of an influenza a virus A/PR8/34 variant. J Infect Dis. 2014;209(4):532–41.
- Casanova T, Van de Paar E, Desmecht D, Garigliany MM. Hyporeactivity of alveolar macrophages and higher respiratory cell Permissivity characterize DBA/2J mice infected by Influenza A Virus. J Interferon Cytokine Res. 2015;35:808.
- Boon AC, Williams RW, Sinasac DS, Webby RJ. A novel genetic locus linked to pro-inflammatory cytokines after virulent H5N1 virus infection in mice. BMC Genomics. 2014;15: 1017.
- Nedelko T, Kollmus H, Klawonn F, Spijker S, Lu L, Hessman M, et al. Distinct gene loci control the host response to influenza H1N1 virus infection in a time-dependent manner. BMC Genomics. 2012;13:411.
- Dornfeld D, Dudek AH, Vausselin T, Günther SC, Hultquist JF, Giese S, et al. SMARCA2-regulated host cell factors are required for MxA restriction of influenza A viruses. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):2092.
- Smith AP, Moquin DJ, Bernhauerova V, Smith AM. Influenza virus infection model with density dependence supports biphasic viral decay. Front Microbiol. 2018;9: 1554.
- Leist SR, Pilzner C, van den Brand JM, Dengler L, Geffers R, Kuiken T, et al. Influenza H3N2 infection of the collaborative cross founder strains reveals highly divergent host responses and identifies a unique phenotype in CAST/EiJ mice. BMC Genomics. 2016;17(1):143.
- Ferris MT, Aylor DL, Bottomly D, Whitmore AC, Aicher LD, Bell TA, et al. Modeling host genetic regulation of influenza pathogenesis in the collaborative cross. PLoS Pathog. 2013;9(2): e1003196.
- Kollmus H, Pilzner C, Leist SR, Heise M, Geffers R, Schughart K. Of mice and men: the host response to influenza virus infection. Mamm Genome. 2018;29(7–8):446–70.
- Bottomly D, Ferris MT, Aicher LD, Rosenzweig E, Whitmore A, Aylor DL, et al. Expression quantitative trait Loci for extreme host response to influenza a in pre-collaborative cross mice. G3 (Bethesda). 2012;2(2):213–21.
- Alberts R, Srivastava B, Wu H, Viegas N, Geffers R, Klawonn F, et al. Gene expression changes in the host response between resistant and susceptible inbred mouse strains after influenza A infection. Microbes Infect. 2010;12(4):309–18.
- Barrett T, Wilhite SE, Ledoux P, Evangelista C, Kim IF, Tomashevsky M, et al. NCBI GEO: archive for functional genomics data sets–update. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;41 (Database issue):D991-995.
- Edgar R, Domrachev M, Lash AE. Gene expression Omnibus: NCBI gene expression and hybridization array data repository. Nucleic Acids Res. 2002;30(1):207–10.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.